arXiv:1409.4715v1 [math.FA] 16 Sep 2014

KRAWTCHOUK TRANSFORMS AND CONVOLUTIONS

PHILIP FEINSILVER AND RENÉ SCHOTT

Abstract. We put together the ingredients for an efficient operator calculus based on Krawtchouk polynomials, including Krawtchouk transforms and corresponding convolution structure which provide an inherently discrete alternative to Fourier analysis. In this paper, we present the theoretical aspects and some basic examples.

1. Introduction

Krawtchouk polynomials are part of the legacy of Mikhail Kravchuk (Krawtchouk), see [14] as a valuable resource about his life and work, including developments up through 2004 based on his work. Krawtchouk polynomials appear in diverse areas of mathematics and science. Important applications such as to image processing [15] are quite recent and indeed are current.

We cite [1, 11, 13] where Krawtchouk polynomials are used as the foundation for discrete models of quantum physics. And they appear naturally when studying random walks in quantum probability [4, 5].

After this Introduction, we continue with the probabilistic construction of Krawtchouk polynomials. They appear as the elementary symmetric functions in the jumps of a random walk, providing a system of martingales based on the random walk. Some fundamental recurrence relations are presented as well. The construction immediately yields their orthogonality relations. Alternative probabilistic approaches to ours of §2 are to be found in [2, 8, 9, 10].

Section 3 provides the linearization and convolution formulas that are the core of the paper. They are related to formulas found in [7, 12]. The next section, §4, specializes to the case of a symmetric random walk, where the formulas simplify considerably.

In Section 5 we introduce shift operators and use them to develop a computationally effective approach to finding transforms and convolutions. This differs from our principal work with operator calculus [3] and recent approach to Krawtchouk transforms [6] and is suitable for numerical as well as symbolic computations.

The article concludes with §6 which presents special bases in which the Krawtchouk matrices are anti-diagonal. These basis functions have limited support and look to be useful in implementing filtering methods in the Krawtchouk setting.

2. Combinatorial and probabilistic basis. Main features.

Consider a collection of N bits $B = \{0, 1\}$ or signs $S = \{-1, 1\}$. Correspondingly, we let j denote the number of 0's or -1's. And we denote the sum in either case by x. So $x = N - j$ for bits, $x = N - 2j$ for signs. Order the elements of B or S and denote them by X_i, $1 \leq i \leq N$. We can encode this information in the generating function

$$G_N(v) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 + vX_i)$$

Now introduce a binomial probability space with the X_i a sequence of independent, identically distributed Bernoulli variables. With p the probability of "success", $q = 1 - p$, the centered random variables are distributed as follows:

$$\text{Bits: } X_i - p = \begin{cases} q, & \text{with probability } p \\ -p, & \text{with probability } q \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Signs: } X_i - (p - q) = \begin{cases} 2q, & \text{with probability } p \\ -2p, & \text{with probability } q \end{cases}$$

To get a sequence of orthogonal functionals of the process we redefine

$$G_N(v) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 + v(X_i - \mu)) = \sum_n v^n \, k_n(j, N) \tag{1}$$

where μ is the expected value of X_i. We see that the two cases differ effectively as a rescaling of v. To see how this comes about, consider

general Bernoulli variables X_i taking values a and b with probabilities p and q respectively. Then the centered variables take values

$$\begin{cases} a-(pa+qb)=\lambda q, & \text{with probability } p \\ b-(pa+qb)=-\lambda p, & \text{with probability } q \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda = a - b$. We can take as standard model $b = 0$ and $a = \lambda$. Then

$$\mu = \lambda p \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2 = \lambda^2 pq$$

are the mean and variance of X_i. Thus, G has the form

$$G_N(v) = (1+\lambda qv)^{N-j}(1-\lambda pv)^j = \sum_n v^n\, k_n(j,N)$$

with j counting the number of 0's and

$$k_n(j,N) = \lambda^n \sum_i \binom{N-j}{n-i}\binom{j}{i}(-1)^i p^i q^{n-i}$$

These are polynomials in the variable j, *Krawtchouk polynomials*. We define a corresponding matrix

$$\Phi_{ij}^{(N)} = k_i(j,N)$$

which acts as a transformation on $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, which we consider as the space of functions defined on the set $\{0,1,\dots,N\}$. The generic form, equation (1), is convenient for revealing and proving properties of the Krawtchouk polynomials, and of the transform Φ.

We review here some principal features of this construction [4, 5].

Remark. Denote expectation with respect to the underlying binomial distribution with angle brackets:

$$\langle f(X)\rangle = \sum_j \binom{N}{j} f(j)\, p^{N-j}q^j$$

and corresponding inner product $\langle f, g\rangle = \langle f(X)g(X)\rangle$.

2.1. **Martingale property.** Since the X_i are independent and $X_i - \mu$ has mean zero, we have the martingale property

$$E(G_{N+1}|\mathcal{F}_N) = \langle (1+v(X_{N+1}-\mu)\rangle\, G_N = G_N$$

where $\mathcal{F}_N$ is the σ-field generated by $\{X_1,\dots,X_N\}$. Thus each coefficient $k_n(j,N)$ is a martingale, where j denotes the number of 0's in the random sequence of 0's and 1's which is the sample path of the underlying Bernoulli process. This gives immediately

Proposition 2.1. *Martingale recurrence*

$$k_n(j,N) = p\,k_n(j,N+1) + q\,k_n(j+1,N+1)$$

One can derive this purely algebraically by the Pascal recurrences presented in the next paragraph.

2.2. **Pascal recurrences and square identity.** As is evident from the form of the generating function G, we have recurrences analogous to the Pascal triangle for binomial coefficients.

Proposition 2.2. *Pascal recurrences*

1. $k_n(j,N+1) = k_n(j,N) + \lambda q\,k_{n-1}(j,N)$

2. $k_n(j+1,N+1) = k_n(j,N) - \lambda p\,k_{n-1}(j,N)$

These follow directly, first considering $(1+\lambda qv)G_N(v) = G_{N+1}(v)$ and second

$$(1-\lambda pv)G_N(v) = G_{N+1}\,\big|_{j\to j+1}\ .$$

Note that the martingale property follows by combining p times the first equation with q times the second.

Given four contiguous entries forming a 2×2 submatrix of $\Phi^{(N)}$, the *square identity* produces the lower left corner from the other three values. In terms of the k's:

Proposition 2.3. *Square identity*

$$k_n(j,N) = \lambda p\,k_{n-1}(j,N) + \lambda q\,k_{n-1}(j+1,N) + k_n(j+1,N)$$

Proof. Combine p times the first equation above with q times that same equation with $j \to j+1$. Applying the martingale recurrence on the left-hand side yields

$$k_n(j,N) = p\,k_n(j,N) + q\,k_n(j+1,N) + \lambda pq\,k_{n-1}(j,N) + \lambda q^2\,k_{n-1}(j+1,N)$$

Subtracting off $p\,k_n(j,N)$ and dividing out a common factor of q yields the result. □

2.3. **Orthogonality.** For orthogonality, we wish to show that $\langle G(v)G(w)\rangle$ is a function of the product vw only. We have, using independence and centering,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle G(v)G(w)\rangle &= \prod\langle(1+(v+w)(X_i-\mu)+vw(X_i-\mu)^2)\rangle \\ &= \prod(1+vw\,\sigma^2) = (1+vw\,\sigma^2)^N\end{aligned}$$

where the variance $\sigma^2 = \lambda^2 pq$ in our context. This yields the squared norms

$$\|k_n\|^2 = \langle k_n, k_n\rangle = \binom{N}{n}(\lambda^2 pq)^n \ .$$

Introducing matrices, we can express the orthogonality relations compactly. Let B, the *binomial distribution matrix*, be the diagonal matrix

$$B = \operatorname{diag}\left(p^N, Np^{N-1}q, \ldots, \binom{N}{i}p^{N-i}q^i, \ldots, q^N\right)$$

Let Γ denote the diagonal matrix of squared norms,

$$\Gamma = \operatorname{diag}\left(1, N(\lambda^2 pq), \ldots, \binom{N}{i}(\lambda^2 pq)^i, \ldots, (\lambda^2 pq)^N\right)$$

For fixed N, we write Φ for $\Phi^{(N)}$ which has ij entry equal to $k_i(j,N)$. Now $G(v) = \sum v^i \Phi_{ij}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j} v^i w^j (\Phi B \Phi^T)_{ij} &= \sum_{i,j,n} v^i w^j \Phi_{in} B_{nn} \Phi_{jn} \\ &= \langle G(v)G(w)\rangle = \sum_n (vw)^n \Gamma_{nn} \ .\end{aligned}$$

In other words, the orthogonality relation takes the form

$$\Phi B \Phi^T = \Gamma$$

which gives for the inverse

$$\Phi^{-1} = B\Phi^T\Gamma^{-1} \ .$$

In the following sections we will detail linearization formulas for the symmetric and non-symmetric cases, derive the corresponding recurrence formulas and then look at the associated convolution operators on functions.

3. Krawtchouk polynomials: general case

We have the generating function

$$G(v) = (1+\lambda q v)^{N-j}(1-\lambda p v)^j = \sum_{0\le n\le N} v^n\, k_n(j,N)$$

with j running from 0 to N. The main feature is the relation

$$G(v) = \prod (1 + v(X_i - \mu))$$

where X_i are independent Bernoulli variables taking values λ and 0 with probabilities p and q respectively.

3.1. **Linearization coefficients.**

We want the expansion of the product $k_\ell k_m$ in terms of k_n. First, a simple lemma

Lemma 3.1. *Let X take values λ and 0. Then the identity*

$$(X-\lambda p)^2 = \lambda(q-p)(X-\lambda p) + \lambda^2 pq$$

holds.

Proof. It is immediately checked. To derive it, expand $x(x-\lambda)$ in Taylor series about λp and equate the result to zero. □

In our context, we can write this as

$$(X-\mu)^2 = \lambda(q-p)(X-\mu) + \sigma^2 \tag{2}$$

Now multiply

$$\begin{aligned} G(v)G(w) &= \prod \big(1 + (v+w)(X_i-\mu) + vw(X_i-\mu)^2\big) \\ &= \prod \big(1 + (v+w+\lambda(q-p)vw)(X_i-\mu) + \sigma^2 vw\big) \end{aligned}$$

by the Lemma. Factoring out $1+\sigma^2 vw$ from each term and re-expanding yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\ell,m} v^\ell w^m k_\ell(j,N) k_m(j,N) \\ &\qquad = (1+\sigma^2 vw)^N \prod \big(1 + \frac{v+w+\lambda(q-p)vw}{1+\sigma^2 vw}(X_i-\mu)\big) \\ &\qquad = \sum_n (1+\sigma^2 vw)^{N-n}(v+w+\lambda(q-p)vw)^n\, k_n(j,N) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Expanding the coefficient of k_n, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=n,\delta} \binom{n}{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}\binom{N-n}{\delta} v^\alpha w^\beta (\lambda(q-p))^\gamma (\sigma^2 vw)^\delta$$

Fixing

$$\ell = n - \beta + \delta \quad \text{and} \quad m = n - \alpha + \delta$$

yields

Theorem 3.2. Linearization formula.
The coefficient of k_n in the expansion of the product $k_\ell k_m$ is

$$\sum_\delta \frac{n!}{(n-m+\delta)!(n-\ell+\delta)!(\ell+m-n-2\delta)!} \binom{N-n}{\delta} (\lambda(q-p))^{\ell+m-n-2\delta} \sigma^{2\delta} .$$

3.1.1. *Recurrence formula.* The three-term recurrence formula characteristic of orthogonal polynomials follows by specializing $\ell = 1$ in the linearization formula. First, compute the constant term and coefficient of v from the generating function G :

$$k_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad k_1 = \lambda(Nq - j)$$

From the linearization formula, we pick up three terms, with $n = m$ and $n = m \pm 1$. We get

Proposition 3.3. Recurrence formula

$$\lambda(Nq - j)\, k_m = (m+1)k_{m+1} + \lambda(q-p)\, m\, k_m + \lambda^2 pq(N+1-m)\, k_{m-1}$$

The terms k_m and k_{m+1} arise with $\delta = 0$, with the term k_{m-1} the only contribution for $\delta = 1$.

3.2. **Krawtchouk transforms. Inversion.** We identify functions on $\{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and the Krawtchouk transforms via the action of the matrix $\Phi^{(N)}$ on that space. For given N, Φ denotes $\Phi^{(N)}$.

For our standard transform, we think of row vectors with multiplication by Φ on the right. Thus, the transform F of a function f is given by

$$F(j) = \sum_n f(n)\, k_n(j, N) \qquad \text{or} \qquad \mathbf{F}^\dagger = \mathbf{f}^\dagger\, \Phi$$

where, e.g., $\mathbf{f}$ is the column vector with entries the corresponding values of f.

The inversion formula is conveniently expressed in terms of matrices.

Proposition 3.4. *Let P be the diagonal matrix*

$$P = \operatorname{diag}\left((\lambda p)^N, \ldots, (\lambda p)^{N-j}, \ldots, 1\right)$$

Let P' be the diagonal matrix

$$P' = \operatorname{diag}\left(1, \ldots, (\lambda p)^j, \ldots, (\lambda p)^N\right)$$

Then

$$\Phi P \Phi = \lambda^N P'$$

The proof is similar to that for orthogonality.

Proof. The matrix equation is the same as the corresponding identity via generating functions. Namely,

$$\sum_{i,j,n} v^i k_i(n,N)(\lambda p)^{N-n} k_n(j,N) w^j \binom{N}{j} = \lambda^N (1+\lambda pvw)^N$$

First, sum over i, using the generating function $G(v)$, with j replaced by n. Then sum over n, again using the generating function. Finally, summing over j using the binomial theorem yields the desired result, via $p+q=1$. □

Thus,

Corollary 3.5.

$$\Phi^{-1} = \lambda^{-N} P \Phi P'^{-1}$$

which is the basis for an efficient inversion algorithm, being a simple modification of the original transform.

3.3. **Convolution.** Corresponding to the product of two transforms F and G is the convolution of the original functions f and g. We have, following the proof of the linearization formula, eqs. (3),

$$\begin{aligned} F(j)G(j) &= \sum_{\ell,m} f(\ell) g(m) k_\ell(j) k_m(j) \\ &= \sum_n k_n(j) \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\delta} \frac{n!}{\alpha!\beta!(n-\alpha-\beta)!} \binom{N-n}{\delta} \\ &\qquad \times (\lambda(q-p))^{n-\alpha-\beta} (\lambda^2 pq)^\delta f(n-\beta+\delta) g(n-\alpha+\delta) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we may define the convolution of two functions f and g on $\{0,1,\ldots,N\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (f \star g)(n) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\delta} &\binom{n}{\alpha,\beta,n-\alpha-\beta} \binom{N-n}{\delta} \\ &\times (\lambda(q-p))^{n-\alpha-\beta} (\lambda^2 pq)^\delta f(n-\beta+\delta) g(n-\alpha+\delta) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and we have the relation

$$F(j)G(j) = \sum_n (f \star g)(n)\, k_n(j) \ .$$

Now, using the inversion formula, Corollary 3.5, we have the relation

$$(f \star g)(n) = \lambda^{-N} \sum_j F(j)G(j)\, (\lambda p)^{N-n-j}\, k_j(n)$$

for the convolution of functions.

4. Krawtchouk polynomials: symmetric case

For the symmetric case, it is convenient to consider the "signs" process where X_i takes values ± 1 with equal probability, $p = q = 1/2$. Thus, $\lambda = 2$ and we have the generating function

$$G(v) = (1+2qv)^{N-j}(1-2pv)^j = (1+v)^{N-j}(1-v)^j = \sum_n v^n\, k_n(j,N)\ .$$

While j runs from 0 to N, the sum $x = N - 2j$ runs from $-N$ to N in steps of 2. Now, $q - p = 0$ and $\sigma^2 = 1$.

In terms of $x = k_1 = N - 2j$, write $k_n(j,N) = K_n(x,N)/n!$. We have the recurrence

$$x\, K_n = K_{n+1} + n(N+1-n)\, K_{n-1}$$

with initial conditions $K_0 = 1$, $K_1 = x$. For example, we can generate the next few polynomials

$$K_2 = x^2 - N\,, \quad K_3 = x^3 + (2-3N)x\,, \quad K_4 = x^4 + (8-6N)x^2 + 3N^2 - 6N\,.$$

The special identities and recurrences hold with $\lambda = 2$, $p = q = 1/2$ and simplify accordingly. Of particular interest is the simplification of the convolution structure.

4.1. **Linearization coefficients.**

We want the expansion of the product $k_\ell k_m$ in terms of k_n. In Theorem 3.2, since $q = p$, we have the condition

$$\ell + m - n = 2\delta$$

and the sum over delta disappears. This leads to a particular set of conditions, namely, that the numbers ℓ, m, and n satisfy the conditions that they should form the sides of a triangle. So, define the *triangle function*

$$\Delta(\ell,m,n) = \frac{(\frac{\ell+m+n}{2})!}{(\frac{-\ell+m+n}{2})!(\frac{\ell-m+n}{2})!(\frac{\ell+m-n}{2})!}$$

where all terms with a factorial must be nonnegative. Note that this is a multinomial coefficient.

Proposition 4.1. *In the symmetric case, the expansion of the product $k_\ell k_m$ is*

$$k_\ell(j)\, k_m(j) = \sum \binom{n}{\frac{\ell-m+n}{2}} \binom{N-n}{\frac{\ell+m-n}{2}} k_n(j)\ .$$

Alternatively, we have

$$k_\ell(j)\, k_m(j) = \sum \binom{N}{\frac{\ell+m+n}{2}} \frac{\Delta(\ell,m,n)}{\binom{N}{n}}\, k_n(j) \ .$$

Remark. If $\ell + m \geq N$, then the two sides differ by a polynomial vanishing on the spectrum $\{-N, 2-N, \ldots, N-2, N\}$.

Proof. The "triangular" form follows from the binomial form by rearranging factorials. □

4.2. **Krawtchouk transforms. Inversion.** In the symmetric case, the matrices P and P' in Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 become identity matrices. Thus, we have

$$\Phi^2 = 2^N I \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Phi^{-1} = 2^{-N}\Phi \ .$$

So the inversion is essentially an immediate application of the original transform.

4.3. **Convolution.** Corresponding to the product of two transforms F and G is the convolution of the original functions f and g. In equation (4), the condition $q - p = 0$ entails $n = \alpha + \beta$. We write a for α, replacing $\beta = n - a$ and write b for δ. This gives for the convolution

$$(f \star g)(n) = \sum_{a,b} \binom{n}{a} \binom{N-n}{b} f(a+b) g(n-a+b) \ .$$

We have the relation

$$F(j)G(j) = \sum_n (f \star g)(n)\, k_n(j)$$

and the inversion simplifies to

$$(f \star g)(n) = 2^{-N} \sum_j F(j)G(j)\, k_j(n)$$

for the convolution of the original functions.

5. Shift operators and matrix formulation of Krawtchouk transform and convolution

We will show how the transform and convolution can be represented by matrices acting on appropriate spaces.

5.1. **Transforms.** Introduce the shift operator T_x which acts on a function $f(x)$ by

$$T_x f(x) = f(x+1)$$

Similarly, $T_y f(y) = f(y+1)$ shifts the variable y by 1. For the transform, in the generating function, we replace v by T_n, the matrix shifting the argument n of f:

$$F(j) = \sum_n f(n) k_n(j) = \sum_n k_n(j)\,(T_n)^n f(0) = (1+\lambda q T_n)^{N-j}(1-\lambda p T_n)^j f(0)$$

Representing f by the (column) vector of values

$$\mathbf{f} = (f(0), f(1), \ldots, f(N))^\dagger$$

T_n is represented by the $N+1$ by $N+1$ matrix with 1's on the superdiagonal and zeros elsewhere and the above formula can be computed recursively using matrices of a very simple form. The value $F(j)$ will be the top entry in the resulting vector at each step.

One approach is to form

$$T(N) = (1+\lambda q T_n)^N \quad \text{and} \quad U = (1+\lambda q T_n)^{-1}(1-\lambda p T_n)$$

and compute successively

$$T(N)\mathbf{f}, UT(N)\mathbf{f}, U^2T(N)\mathbf{f}, \ldots, U^N T(N)\mathbf{f} \tag{5}$$

Form a matrix with these vectors as columns. Then the entries along the top row are the values $F(j)$.

Remark. Even though we are using the column vector $\mathbf{f}$, we are taking the *transform* multiplying by Φ on the right, that is, computing the entries of $\mathbf{f}^\dagger\Phi$.

Considering vectors $\mathbf{f}$ with a single nonzero entry equal to one leads to another way to describe the result. Namely, the matrix with successive columns equal to the first row from each of the generated matrices $U^j T(N)$ produces Φ. (See Appendix.)

Remark. Note that the matrix U has the expansion

$$U = I - \lambda T + \lambda^2 q T^2 - \lambda^3 q^2 T^3 + \cdots \quad .$$

This follows from the identity

$$I - U = \lambda T (I + \lambda q T)^{-1} \tag{6}$$

which may be verified by multiplying both sides by $(I+\lambda qT)$. Expanding in geometric series, noting that T is nilpotent, yields the above

formula for U. The coefficients are the entries constant on successive superdiagonals of U.

Example. Let $N = 4$. We have

$$T(4) = (I + \lambda qT)^4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4\,\lambda\, q & 6\,\lambda^2 q^2 & 4\,\lambda^3 q^3 & \lambda^4 q^4 \\ 0 & 1 & 4\,\lambda\, q & 6\,\lambda^2 q^2 & 4\,\lambda^3 q^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4\,\lambda\, q & 6\,\lambda^2 q^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4\,\lambda\, q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$U = (1 + \lambda qT)^{-1}(1 - \lambda pT) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\lambda & \lambda^2 q & -\lambda^3 q^2 & \lambda^4 q^3 \\ 0 & 1 & -\lambda & \lambda^2 q & -\lambda^3 q^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\lambda & \lambda^2 q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Starting with a column vector $\mathbf{f}$, first multiplying by $T(4)$, then successively by U produces one-by-one the entries of the transform of $\mathbf{f}$.

For the symmetric case, $\lambda = 2$, $p = q = 1/2$, $T(N)$ has the binomial coefficients along the superdiagonals while, except for 1's on the diagonal, the entries of U are ± 2 on alternating superdiagonals. Thus,

$$T(4) = (I+T)^4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, replacing the variables v and w in equation (3), by T_n and T_m respectively yields the formula

$$\sum_{n,m} k_n(j,N)k_m(j,N)(T_n)^n(T_m)^m f(0)g(0)$$
$$= \sum_n k_n(j,N)\,(1+\sigma^2 T_n T_m)^{N-n}(T_n + T_m + \lambda(q-p)T_n T_m)^n f(0)g(0)$$

Representing $T_m T_n$ by the Kronecker/tensor product of the corresponding shift matrices provides an explicit matrix that when applied to the tensor product of the vectors $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ yields the convolution $f * g$. (See Appendix for an example.)

So the convolution can be computed analogously to the transform. Start with

$$T(N) = (1+\sigma^2 T_n T_m)^N \quad \text{and} \quad U = (1+\sigma^2 T_n T_m)^{-1}(T_n + T_m + \lambda(q-p)T_n T_m)$$

and compute successively as in equation (5).

6. Dual Transforms. Binomial bases

Of course, one could define transforms dually by multiplying column vectors :

$$F(n) = \sum_j k_n(j) f(j) \qquad \text{or} \qquad \mathbf{F} = \Phi \mathbf{f} \ .$$

Let's begin with an example.

Example. For the symmetric case, we observe the result

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 0 & -2 & -4 \\ 6 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 6 \\ 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 & 16 \\ 4 & 6 & 8 & 8 & 0 \\ 6 & 6 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$

The matrix on the left is $\Phi^{(4)}$. Observe that column, m, say, of binomial coefficients is mapped to its partner column indexed by $N-m$, scaled by 2^m. Note that as functions, functions with zero tails are mapped to functions with zero tails, analogously to Fourier transforms of compactly supported functions or cutoff functions for filtering.

In general we have

Proposition 6.1. *Let* $f_m(j) = \binom{m}{j} p^{m-j} q^j$. *Then the dual transform,* $\mathbf{F}_m = \Phi \mathbf{f}_m$, *is given by*

$$F_m(n) = \binom{N-m}{n} (\lambda q)^n \ .$$

Proof. We show the generating function version of the relation. Thus,

$$\sum_j (1+\lambda qv)^{N-j}(1-\lambda pv)^j \binom{m}{j} p^{m-j}q^j$$
$$= (1+\lambda qv)^{N-m}(1+\lambda qv)^{m-j}(1-\lambda pv)^j \binom{m}{j} p^{m-j}q^j$$
$$= (1+\lambda qv)^{N-m}(q-\lambda pqv+p+\lambda pqv)^m$$
$$= (1+\lambda qv)^{N-m} = \sum_n v^n \binom{N-m}{n} (\lambda q)^n \ .$$

□

So in this basis, call it *the binomial basis*, Φ is represented by a matrix with entries on the antidiagonal. Continuing our example, write

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

With D the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(1, 2, 2^2, 2^3, 2^4)$, we have

$$\Phi\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}JD \qquad \text{or} \qquad \mathcal{B}^{-1}\Phi\mathcal{B} = JD \tag{7}$$

with

$$JD = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 16 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

the matrix representing the transform in the binomial basis. These relations extend to all N.

A related family of transforms is indicated by the similar calculation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 8 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 0 \\ 24 & 12 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 32 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 16 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which can be expressed in the form

$$J\mathcal{B}J\Phi = D\mathcal{B}J \qquad \text{or} \qquad (J\mathcal{B}J)\Phi(J\mathcal{B}J)^{-1} = DJ$$

with J, D, and $\mathcal{B}$ as above. Comparing with equation (7) indicates a connection between Φ and Φ^T. At this point it is straightforward to give a direct proof of the properties we want.

Proposition 6.2. *Let* $f_i(n) = \binom{N-n}{N-i} p^{i-n}\lambda^{-n}$. *Then the transform,* $\mathbf{F}_i^\dagger = \mathbf{f}_i^\dagger \Phi$, *is given by*

$$F_i(j) = \binom{N-j}{i} .$$

Proof. As in the previous proposition, we show the generating function version of the relation. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_i \sum_n \binom{N-n}{N-i} p^{i-n}\lambda^{-n} k_n(j) v^i &= \sum_i \sum_n \binom{N-n}{i} p^{N-i}(\lambda p)^{-n} k_n(j) v^{N-i} \\
&= \sum_n (pv)^n \sum_i \binom{N-n}{i} (vp)^{N-n-i}(\lambda p)^{-n} k_n(j) \\
&= \sum_n (pv)^n (1+pv)^{N-n}(\lambda p)^{-n}\, k_n(j) \\
&= (1+pv)^N \sum_n \left(\frac{v/\lambda}{1+pv}\right)^n \, k_n(j) \\
&= (1+pv)^N \left(1+\frac{qv}{1+pv}\right)^{N-j} \left(1-\frac{pv}{1+pv}\right)^j \\
&= (1+v)^{N-j} = \sum_i \binom{N-j}{i} v^i \ .
\end{aligned}$$

□

7. Concluding Remarks

We have presented Krawtchouk transforms which have the potential to provide an inherently discrete, efficient alternative to Fourier analysis. By presenting effective algorithms using matrix techniques to compute transforms and convolution products, we have demonstrated useful tools that are not only of theoretical interest but are ready for practical applications. As well, the special binomial transforms we have indicated provide a solid basis for filtering techniques. Thus, the Krawtchouk analogs of the standard Fourier toolkit are now available. Digital image analysis, for example, will provide an important arena for illustrating and developing Krawtchouk methods as presented in this work.

8. Appendix

Here we show examples of a transform and of a convolution computation using the matrix techniques discussed in the text.

8.1. **Krawtchouk transform.** For a non-symmetric example, we take $N = 4$, $\lambda = 2$, $p = 1/4$. We have

$$T(4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & \frac{27}{2} & \frac{27}{2} & \frac{81}{16} \\ 0 & 1 & 6 & \frac{27}{2} & \frac{27}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 6 & \frac{27}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \; U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 & -9/2 & 27/4 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 3 & -9/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrices $U^j T(4)$, $0 \leq j \leq 4$, are successively generated, yielding

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & \frac{27}{2} & \frac{27}{2} & \frac{81}{16} \\ 0 & 1 & 6 & \frac{27}{2} & \frac{27}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 6 & \frac{27}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & \frac{9}{2} & 0 & -\frac{27}{16} \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & \frac{9}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & \frac{9}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{16} \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 1 & -\frac{3}{16} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{16} \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Think of applying each of these matrices to the column vector consisting of all zeros except for 1 in the j^{th} spot. Then the transform would be the j^{th} column of Φ. These come from the successive entries in the top row, column j. In other words, the top row of $U^j T(N)$ is the j^{th} column of Φ. Concatenating the transposed first rows yields

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 6 & 4 & 2 & 0 & -2 \\ 27/2 & 9/2 & -1/2 & -3/2 & 3/2 \\ 27/2 & 0 & -3/2 & 1 & -1/2 \\ 81/16 & -27/16 & 9/16 & -3/16 & 1/16 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Forming the diagonal matrices P and P', as in Prop. 3.4, pre-multiplying by $\lambda^{-4}P$ and post-multiplying by P'^{-1} yields Φ^{-1} immediately.

8.2. **Krawtchouk convolution.** For an example of convolution, take $N = 2$, $\lambda = 2$, $p = 1/4$, $\sigma^2 = 3/4$. We have the formulas

$$T(2) = (1+\sigma^2 T_n T_m)^2 \text{ and } U = (1+\sigma^2 T_n T_m)^{-1}(T_n + T_m + \lambda(q-p)T_n T_m) .$$

Let $T = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. Set

$$T_n = T \otimes I \text{ and } T_m = I \otimes T$$

with I the 3×3 identity.

[Note the tensor sign denotes Kronecker product associated to the left.]

Then form $T(2)$ and U. As for the transform, calculate $U^j T(N)$ successively. Here we show the top row(s) only, stacked to form a matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9/16 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3/4 & 0 & 3/4 & 3/4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplying the column $\mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{g}$ on the left by the above matrix produces the convolution $f \star g$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} f(0)\,g(0) + \frac{3}{2}\,f(1)\,g(1) + \frac{9}{16}\,f(2)\,g(2) \\ f(0)\,g(1) + f(1)\,g(0) + f(1)\,g(1) + \frac{3}{4}\,f(1)\,g(2) + \frac{3}{4}\,f(2)\,g(1) + \frac{3}{4}\,f(2)\,g(2) \\ f(0)\,g(2) + 2\,f(1)\,g(1) + 2\,f(1)\,g(2) + f(2)\,g(0) + 2\,f(2)\,g(1) + f(2)\,g(2) \end{bmatrix}$$

As in the previous section, we can compute

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & -1 \\ 9/4 & -3/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} .$$

We have $\mathbf{F}^\dagger = \mathbf{f}^\dagger\,\Phi$ and $\mathbf{G}^\dagger = \mathbf{g}^\dagger\,\Phi$, for example,

$$\mathbf{F}^\dagger = [f(0) + 3\,f(1) + 9/4\,f(2), f(0) + f(1) - 3/4\,f(2), f(0) - f(1) + 1/4\,f(2)]$$

and similarly for $\mathbf{G}$. One verifies that the j^{th} component of $(\mathbf{f} \star \mathbf{g})^\dagger\,\Phi$ is indeed $F(j)G(j)$.

References

[1] N.M. Atakishiyev, G.S. Pogosyan, and K.B. Wolf, Finite Models of the Oscillator, *Physics of Particles and Nuclei*, Suppl. 3, **36**:521-555, 2005.

[2] R. D. Cooper and M. R. Hoare. Stochastic processes and special functions: on the probabilistic origin of some positive kernels associated with classical orthogonal polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 61(1):262–291, 1977.

[3] Ph. Feinsilver and R. Schott. *Algebraic Structures and Operator Calculus, Vols. I-III: Representations and Probability Theory*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993-1995.

[4] Philip Feinsilver and Jerzy Kocik. Krawtchouk matrices from classical and quantum random walks. In *Algebraic methods in statistics and probability (Notre Dame, IN, 2000)*, volume 287 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 83–96. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

[5] Philip Feinsilver and Jerzy Kocik. Krawtchouk polynomials and Krawtchouk matrices. In *Recent advances in applied probability*, pages 115–141. Springer, New York, 2005.

[6] Philip Feinsilver and René Schott. On Krawtchouk transforms. *in* Serge Autexier, Jacques Calmet, et al., editors. *Intelligent Computer Mathematics, 10th International Conference, AISC 2010, 17th Symposium, Calculemus 2010, and 9th International Conference, MKM 2010, Paris, France, July 5-10, 2010. Proceedings*, volume 6167 of *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*. Springer, 2010.

[7] George Gasper. Nonnegativity of a discrete Poisson kernel for the Hahn polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 42:438–451, 1973. Collection of articles dedicated to Salomon Bochner.

[8] F. Alberto Grünbaum. Random walks and orthogonal polynomials: some challenges. In *Probability, geometry and integrable systems*, volume 55 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 241–260. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[9] M. R. Hoare and Mizan Rahman. Cumulative Bernoulli trials and Krawtchouk processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 16(2):113–139, 1984.

[10] Michael R. Hoare and Mizan Rahman. A probabilistic origin for a new class of bivariate polynomials. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 4:Paper 089, 18, 2008.

[11] M. Lorente, Quantum Mechanics on discrete space and time, in M. Ferrero, A. van der Merwe, eds. *New Developments on Fundamental Problems in Quantum Physics*, 213–224, Kluwer, 1997, `arXiv:quant-ph/0401004v1`.

[12] Mizan Rahman. An elementary proof of Dunkl's addition theorem for Krawtchouk polynomials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 10(2):438–445, 1979.

[13] T.S. Santhanam, Finite-Space Quantum Mechanics and Krawtchuk Functions, *Proc. of the Workshop on Special Functions and Differential Equations, Madras, India, 1997*, 192, Allied Publishers, Delhi, 1998.

[14] N. Virchenko, I. Katchanovski, et al., editors. *Development of the Mathematical Ideas of Mykhailo Kravchuk (Krawtchouk)*, shevchenko Scientific Society and National Technical University of Ukraine "KPI". Kyiv-New York, 2004.

[15] P.-T. Yap and R. Paramesran. Image analysis by Krawtchouk moments. *IEEE Transactions on image processing*, 12:1367-1377, 2003.

Department of Mathematics, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL. 62901, U.S.A.

IECL and LORIA, Nancy-Université, Université de Lorraine, BP 239, 54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France.